UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/796,201 | 03/10/2004 | Noboru Segawa | 086531-0136 | 2432 |
| | 7590 03/06/200 LARDNER LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| SUITE 500 | | MCCRACKEN, DANIEL | | |
| 3000 K STREE WASHINGTO | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1793 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/06/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/796,201 | SEGAWA ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| DANIEL C. MCCRACKEN | 1793 | |

| | DANIEL C. MCCRACKEN | 1793 | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>21 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI | on. LED WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The approprionally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| | but prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered be | 001100 | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ | | cause | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | otod olalinio. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | - | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | I be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4-12</u> . | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and | | | | | | |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application ir | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | |
| | /Daniel C. McCracken/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The "honeycomb/mesh feature" inter alia presents a new issue.